



**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231

45

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------

08/898,853 07/25/97 YAMAGISHI

H Q45980

QM22/1018  
SUGHRUE MION ZINN MACPEAK & SEAS  
2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE N W  
WASHINGTON DC 20037-3202

EXAMINER

GORDON, R

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3711

36

DATE MAILED:

10/18/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

# Interview Summary

Application No.

08/898,853

Applicant(s)

Yamagishi et al.

Examiner

Raeann Gorden

Group Art Unit

3711

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Raeann Gorden(3) Mark Graham(2) Robert Masters

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview Oct 16, 2001Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conferencec) ☒ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ Applicant's representative]Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No. If yes, brief description:Claim(s) discussed: 13-19

Identification of prior art discussed:

OhsumiAgreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) N/A

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:


Applicant and Examiners discussed the similarities and differences between the outer core layer of the prior art and the inner cover layer of the present invention. Applicant will respond to the last office action and include arguments from an expert that verify there is no difference between the layers from applicant's invention the present invention. Applicant will also include arguments regarding prior paper #6. Examiners will also review paper #6.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i) ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

  
Mark S. Graham  
Primary Examiner